

APPENDIX A

DESERT TORTOISE TRANSLOCATION PLANS

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX A-1

ADDENDUM TO THE TRANSLOCATION PLANS

This page intentionally left blank.

Addendum to the Translocation Plans

In general, the No-Action Alternative, Alternative 1, and Alternative 2 would implement the desert tortoise translocation as described in the 2011 General Translocation Plan (GTP), March 2016 Translocation Plan, and the June 2016 Translocation Plan, respectively. However there are several instances where the alternatives described in Chapter 2 of the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement differ from the translocation plans provided in this appendix. These differences are due to errata in the original translocation plans or changes in project design due to new information. Table A-1 provides a list of these differences for each alternative and a reason for the changes.

Table A-1. Changes to the No-Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 and 2

Change to Alternative	Related text in Translocation Plan	Reason for Change
<i>No-Action Alternative</i>		
The areas of Ord-Rodman-combined recipient areas is corrected from 19,199 acres (77.7 km ²) to 23,475 acres (95.0 km ²). Total area for Proposed Recipient Areas corrected from 37855.5 acres (153.2 km ²) to 42,269 acres (171.1 km ²).	2011 GTP, Page 20, Table 4; Page 32, Table 7.	Erratum: area correction.
Total area for Proposed Recipient Areas corrected from “approximately 153 km ² (59 mi ² or 37,855 acres)” to “approximately 171 km ² (59 mi ² or 42,269 acres).”	2011 GTP, Page 20, Line 1.	Erratum: area correction.
Clearance surveys for tortoises and nests were conducted from September 2014 through October 2015 inside the designated medium- and high-intensity MEB operating areas in the WEA and SEA. All tortoises of adequate size were transmittered; juvenile tortoises too small to wear transmitters were moved to new holding pens at MCAGCC Natural Resources and Environmental Affairs TRACRS and these juvenile tortoises would be part of headstarting. A tortoise survey of recipient and control sites was also conducted in fall 2015.	2011 GTP, Pages 44-45, Section 3.2.2, <i>Clearance Surveys in the Acquisition Areas</i> .	Updated based on 2014 and 2015 clearance surveys; surveys were conducted as described in Section 3.2.2 of the 2011 GTP.
No change to the No-Action Alternative.	2011 GTP, Page 31, Line 2.	Erratum: correct text to read “...post-translocation maximum of 5.55 per km ² ...”
No change to the No-Action Alternative.	2011 GTP, Page 32, Table 7.	Erratum: Area for the Sunshine Peak Training Area corrected 60.5 km ² to 15km ² .
<i>Alternative 1</i>		
Remove Rodman from list of Control Sites and correct number of control tortoises for Cleghorn Control and Bullion Control from 20 to 25.	March 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 29, Table 7.	Erratum: Control Site correction.
No change to Alternative 1.	March 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 29, Table 6.	Erratum: The total “# Adults to Translocate” should be corrected from 443 to 998.
Percent change in densities revised in Section 2.2.4.1.	March 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 29, Table 6.	Errata: Density Increase: Lucerne-Ord: from 53% to 57% Rodman-Sunshine Peak North: from 37% to 36% Siberia: from 71% to 82% Broadwell: from 18% to 22% Cleghorn Recipient (constrained): from 100% to 85% Bullion Recipient: no change

Table A-1. Changes to the No-Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 and 2 (continued)

Change to Alternative	Related text in Translocation Plan	Reason for Change
The size of the Siberia recipient site has been modified in Tables 2.2-1 and 2.2-3 to represent 62% of the original 15,765 acre site that has a habitat suitability index of 0.6 or greater.	March 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 9, Table 2.	Updated because recent site visits found that substantial portions of the Siberia recipient site have been scoured by natural flooding, patchily affecting habitat value in the site.
Alternative 2		
Size and distance from recipient site for the Bullion Control has been corrected to 2,136 acres (8.6 km ²) and 4.3 miles (6.9 km), respectively in Table 2.3-1 of the SEIS.	June 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 11, Table 2.	Errata; correct the size and distance from recipient site for the Bullion Control (12 km and 15.7 km ² , respectively in Table 2).
The Bullion control site (Figure 2.3-2) would be located on the Combat Center in the SUA immediately north of Cleghorn Lakes Wilderness Area (instead of in the northwest portion of the Cleghorn Lakes Wilderness Area under Alternative 1).	June 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 14, Table 3; Figures 2b and 3f.	Errata; correct the location of the Bullion Control (identified as being "Entirely in Cleghorn Wilderness" in Table 3); Figures 2b and 3f depict incorrect location of Bullion Control and show old Bullion Recipient Site.
The size of the Siberia recipient site has been modified in Table 2.3-1 to represent 62% of the original 15,765 acre site that has a habitat suitability index of 0.6 or greater.	June 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 10, Table 2.	Updated because recent site visits found that substantial portions of the Siberia recipient site have been scoured by natural flooding, patchily affecting habitat value in the site
Translocation Densities in Table 2.2-3 of the SEIS have been updated based on changes in size of Siberia recipient site.	June 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 29, Table 6.	Updated based on change in size of Siberia recipient site.
Translocatees and Post-Translocation Densities revised in Table 2.3-2.	June 2016 Translocation Plan, Page 29, Table 6.	Errata: Translocatees: Lucerne-Ord: from 448 to 447 Rodman-Sunshine Peak North: from 316 to 341 Siberia: from 182 to 155 Broadwell: from 19 to 18 Cleghorn Recipient (constrained): from 32 to 37 Post-Translocation Densities: Lucerne-Ord: from 8 to 8.1 Rodman-Sunshine Peak North: from 8 to 8.1 Siberia: no change Broadwell: no change Cleghorn Recipient (constrained): from 10.5 to 10.4